

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

lel

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/934,349	08/21/2001	Roy McGee	776	7836	
7590 12/10/2003			EXAMINER		
Law Offices John D. Gugliotta, P.E., Esq.			PELHAM, JOSEPH MOORE		
202 Delaware Building 137 South Main Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Akron, OH 44308			3742		
			DATE MAILED: 12/10/2003	17	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A - 5	•	A 4-
/\ / \	vienn.	Antinn
AU	viaui v	Action

Application N	0.	Applicant(s)	
09/934,349		MCGEE ET AL.	
Examiner	,	Art Unit	
Joseph M Pelh	am	3742	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A present

final re	ejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in ion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) 🛭	_ · · · · · — · · · · — · · · · · · · ·
b) L	event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
have be 37 CFR (b) abov	rensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in e, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any posternt term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2.	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a)	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b)	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c)	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d)	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5.⊠	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7.	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected:
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) \square approved or b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10.	Other:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

PRIMARY EXAMINER
Part of Paper No. 17

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner has not taken issue with Applicant's observation that the Vanselow heater 41 is located at the upper surface of base 12. Such a heater is conventionally encased in an electrically insulating material, but placed at the surface of the base so that it fulfills its intended function of warming a cup placed in the bore. Applicant has neither disclosed nor depicted by figures that the claimed heater placement is distinct from that of Vanselow. Applicant's heater must be placed in thermal communication with the bore since the cup to be heated is placed there; hence it must be equivalently placed. Moreover, while claim 1 is therefore not substantively distinct from the prior art, claim 2 does not recite specific heater placement at all.